

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE REVIEW MEETING HELD ON 07.07.2007 AT INDRA DHANUSH AUDITORIUM, SECTOR-5, PANCHKULA.**

The list of participants is enclosed at **Annexure 'A'**.

Shri D.S. Dhesi, IAS, CTCP also joined the Meeting and chaired the post lunch session for some time.

At the outset, the CA welcomed all the participants. Afterwards, the following agenda items were discussed as under: -

**1. Review of Court Cases: -**

- (i) It was noticed that there is addition of more number of court cases than disposal in all the Zones of HUDA. It was also noticed that Zonal Administrators have not carefully gone through the instructions issued under the signatures of Shri. T.C. Gupta, IAS, C.A. and circulated vide Memo No. 3179 dated 28.5.2007. The information in the formats enclosed with the said circular has not been sent for which displeasure was conveyed and they were directed to send the information in the formats in future as per schedule.
- (ii) The EO, Hisar informed that there are number of cases regarding transfer permission which have not been permitted due to the pending court cases. He was advised to send the copy of instructions, if any, issued by the Head Office in this regard for any modification to be made in the said instructions.
- (iii) The CA apprised that recently the Hon'ble High Court has sustained the oustees policy of HUDA in the writ petition Nos. 6129/07 and 7122/07. He directed the LR to circulate a copy of orders of the High Court to all the Estate Officers & Administrators to defend the similar cases before the various courts. They were also directed to incorporate these orders in all the written statements to be filed in Courts and to bring these orders of the Hon'ble High Court to the notice of appropriate Courts for dismissing the petitions/cases which are pending at the moment and are covered under this decision. Law Officers posted in various field offices should be asked by respective Administrators to review actions in this regard.

- (iv) In one of the case of Panipat CA, has observed that the counsel of HUDA Sh. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate could not file the RSA due to non supply of necessary documents by the Estate Officer, Panipat which is gross negligence on the part of concerned office as they have failed in proper monitoring of the case. Hence, all the Estate Officers & Administrators were directed to personally monitor the court cases so that such lapses do not occur in future.
- (v) CA directed all the EOs/Adms. to inform him immediately on receipt of warrants issued by the Courts in his name or Senior Officers for taking immediate necessary action.
- (vi) CA advised all the Administrators to pass the orders in appeal cases strictly in accordance with the provisions of HUDA Act and Rules & Regulations made their under. The Administrators should not function and act as a High Court by granting discretionary relief. They should quash the orders of EOs only by bringing out the illegality/irregularity in the orders of EOs.
- (vii) It was observed that in large number of court cases, comments have not been sent by the EOs/Admns. As per the circular dated 28.5.2007, comments are required to be sent by the Admns. and not by the EOs in respect of land acquisition cases but the Admns. have not reviewed the position of court cases. Resultantly in numerous cases, replies have not been filed on behalf of HUDA despite granting of 6 to 10 adjournments. Zonal Administrators were directed to review the position and in case the lapses still continue, they will be held personally responsible.

## **2. Review of disposal of Oustee's Claim:-**

- (i) As per the figures supplied in the meeting, 293 and 8 claims are pending in Rohtak Zone and Gurgaon Zone respectively. EO (H) and EO (P) representing Administrators, Hissar and Panchkula respectively were not even equipped with actual figures of pending oustees claims.

- (ii) The Chairman showed his serious concern about the lethargic treatment given to this time bound matter. HUDA, before the Hon'ble Pb. & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 15433 of 2006 titled as Amar Singh Vs State of Haryana has given a statement that all the oustee's claims pending on or before 29.11.2006 shall be disposed off within a period of 6 months. This time limit has already expired. During the last meeting held on 12.5.2007, after detailed discussions and that too with the consent of the zonal Administrators it was decided that all the pending claims shall be decided latest by 20.05.2007. It was also observed that this time the figures with regard to the pending claims as on 29.11.2006 are entirely different and considerably high as compared to these given in November, 2006 and placed before the Hon'ble High Court. Either the figures being now produced are unrealistic or earlier placed before the Hon'ble High Court were not correct. Under both the situations, it is sad state of affairs and the concerned officials need to be taken to task. Zonal Administrators are directed to reconcile the same and send separate clarificatory communication. They should also initiate action against those who gave wrong information. After discussions, it was decided that the whole process will be completed within next 30days positively. It was explicitly conveyed that in case of failure of the Zonal Administrators heading the oustee's adalats and Hon'ble High court summoning HUDA, they in person shall have to appear and face the High Court. It was also decided that all the Zonal Administrators, particularly Administrator, HUDA Panchkula shall enquire into the reasons for inordinate delays in the finalization of claims relating to the Urban Estates Panchkula and submit her enquiry report wherein the name of the defaulting officers/officials should be highlighted.

### **3. Review of disposal under RTI Act: -**

- (i) It was pointed out by the C.A. that Shri G. Madhvan, IAS (Retd), Chief Information Commissioner, Haryana has conveyed his displeasure through DO letter No.3707/PS/CIC/2007 dated 25.6.2007 which was also read before the officers in the meeting. He has specifically pointed out that the office of HUDA (H.Q.), Gurgaon and Faridabad are very reluctant to accept applications under Right to Information Act and their response to communications sent by the State Information Commission is very poor. All the officers were exhorted to improve the functioning failing which same shall be entered in their ACRs.

- (ii) It was observed that in some cases informations are not supplied because these have been referred to Head Office for decisions. This is wrong approach. Information must be supplied in time and if case has been referred to Head Office, it should be mentioned in the reply. We have to supply information and nobody can demand decision/action under Right to Information Act. Therefore, if anybody asks time limit for taking a decision in a particular matter, same need not to be committed and it can simply be stated that the matter is under consideration. However, efforts must be made to redress the grievances of the public at large.
- (iii) The applications received under RTI Act were reviewed. It was desired that the concerned officers should personally check all such representations and devise effective monitoring mechanism.

(Action by All Administrators/EOs/SEs/Secy.)

#### **4. Pending ACRs in respect of 2005-06 and 2006-07 :-**

- (i) CA announced the names of the defaulting officers of HQs as well as field offices who had not written the ACRs of some of the employees. All the Administrators and SEs were directed to complete the ACRs for the year 2005-06 and 2006-07 and send the name of the defaulting officers alongwith their draft charge sheets under Rule-8.
- (ii) It was also decided that EIC, HUDA should monitor the ACRs of Engineering Wing.

(Action by All Administrators/EIC/EOs/SEs/Secy.)

#### **5. Review of Recoveries (Budget/Actual & %age):-**

- (i) The overall recovery in respect of Enhanced Compensation, Development Charges from released land and New Sale Commercial were found to be on the lower side. The recovery of enhanced compensation in respect of Estate Offices except Kurukshetra, Ambala and Bhiwani were found to be on the lower side.
- (ii) The recovery of Revenue Receipts in respect of Estate Office, Rewari, Panchkula, Jagadhri, Panipat, Sirsa and Bhiwani were found below the targets. Similarly the

recovery of instalments of plots in Urban Estate Rewari was very bad. It was only 22% of the budget targets.

- (iii) CA desired that notice of recovery of enhanced compensation should be sent immediately. HUDA will not be able to recover the interest for the intervening period if there is any delay in sending the notice of enhanced compensation and the defaulting officers/officials will be held responsible for the financial loss in this regard. CA further desired that the recovery of enhanced compensation may be improved after issuing the notice under section-17 of HUDA Act.
- (iv) Regarding recovery of EDC in respect of released lands, it was decided that Director, Urban Estate Department may be requested to give the Urban Estate-wise/party wise details of land released initially w.e.f. 1.4.2005 so that steps may be taken to execute the agreement if not already executed and to recover the amount. It was also decided that in case the owners of the released lands do not sign the agreement/pay the development charges, the case may be sent to the Head Office for starting acquisition proceedings again.
- (v) CA desired that regular auction of commercial sites may be held in order to achieve the targets of new sale commercial.
- (vi) The improvement in the recovery of instalments should be visible in the next two months.
- (vii) CCF pointed out that Haryana Urban Development (Disposal of Land and Buildings) Regulations, 1978 were amended by Haryana Government Gazette Notification dated 14.12.2004. However, it has come to the notice of the HQs that these amended regulations have not been incorporated in the allotment/reallotment letters because of which, we are loosing many court cases and suffering huge losses. Therefore, all Administrators were directed to verify whether necessary compliance has been done by the EOs under their respective jurisdiction or not. Thereafter, Administrators shall send a confirmation in writing by 31.8.2007.

(Action by: all Zonal Admn./E.Os/CCF)

## **6. Review of possession taken of acquired land:-**

While reviewing the information supplied by the Zonal Administrators regarding details of possession taken of acquired lands, it was found that the zonal Administrators did not supply complete information i.e. Urban Estate wise and Mandi Township wise. For example, in case of information in respect of Urban Estate, Ambala no details were supplied in respect of Urban Estate, Naraingarh. Similarly, in case of Faridabad, information/details regarding Urban Estate, Palwal was not sent. In case of Kurukshetra, information in respect of Urban Estate, Shahabad was not sent. It was desired by the Chairman that complete information in respect of all Urban Estates and Mandi Townships be supplied to Head office within one month on the land acquisition registers already maintained by the Estate Officers.

(Action: All EOs/Zonal Adms/EOs.)

## **7. Review of planning of land for floatation:-**

The information in the prescribed proforma was not made available by the Administrator, Hisar and Gurgaon. C.A observed that around 3000 acres acquired land is still to be planned. It was decided that the Administrators will send the complete information in the prescribed proforma to CTP for close monitoring and finalization of layout plans of un-planned pockets including the land under stay. The information should be supplied by Administrators by 8.8.2007 and the layout plans of all the un-planned pockets must be finalized by 30.9.2007.

(All Administrators/CTP)

## **8. Floatation Programme:-**

CTP pointed out that the floatation was held up due to the absence of demarcation plans and certified reports about availability of plots from respective Administrators. CA asked the Zonal Administrators to expedite the same.

(Action By: All Administrators/CTP HUDA)

## 9. Review of Major Development works:-

- (i) It was intimated by E.I.C that Govt. of India has made mandatory clearance from Central Govt. in the Ministry of Environment and Forest as per the Gazzete notification dated 14.9.2006 for all new projects / activities having project covering  $\geq 50$  hectare area. C.A directed that compliance of the notification for E.I.A. / E.M.P. report for the Sectors being floated and schemes taken in hand after this notification be done immediately.

(Action by All SE's)

- (ii) Regarding consultancy works given to various consultants for preparation of project reports, it was observed that the time schedule for submitting the reports is not being adhered to by Consultants. S.Es were asked to check all these consultancy works and get the consultancy reports finalized within time period. It was further desired by C.A. that allotting of consultancy work for the Major projects be shown to him before allotment.

It was decided that EIC shall consolidate the list of all consultancies awarded & status thereof w.e.f. 01.01.07 onwards and submit to H.Q. within next 7 days. It was also decided that such consultancy must be awarded once the land has been acquired and possession is handed over.

(Action by EIC/all S.Es)

- (iii) While reviewing the progress of Development works C.A. HUDA stated that although the overall progress of achievement of budget is 82% but in some sub heads like Buildings, New Work & Villages, it is on lesser side for which C.A. HUDA desired that progress be accelerated and achievements be made in all the heads.

(Action by All S.E's)

- (iv) For Bahadurgarh Gymkhana Club revised estimate be processed by S.E. Rohtak on the basis of drawings of Karnal Gymkhana Club.

(Action by S.E, Rohtak)

- (v) The work of premix carpet on approach road to village Ghasitpur in Sector-34, Ambala be completed so that action for transfer of existing PWD B & R road falling in Town Park can be taken and Town Park be developed fully.

(Action by S.E, Karnal)

- (vi) HUDA Architectural Wing should re-design the interior and exterior for the Auditorium of Gurgaon instead of hiring the consultant from the Market and efforts be made for completion of the Auditorium without any further delay.

(Action by Sr. Architect / S.E-I, GGN)

- (vii) Quality of work be maintained while achieving the targets. There are number of complaints regarding quality of work. It was informed by E.I.C that Shri Ram Institute, New Delhi has been authorized / empanelled by HUDA for testing / checking of material. S.Es / E.Es can request the Institute for checking / testing of any work / material as per requirements at the rates already approved by HUDA. No separate approval of rates is required to be sought in case of Shri Ram Institute, New Delhi.

(Action by all S.Es / EEs)

## **10. (A) Removal of encroachments:-**

- (i) The review of encroachments existing without court stay and under court stay was done in detail. It was observed by the Chairman that 75.37 acres of land is under encroachment without court stay in Urban Estate, Gurgaon, 26.99 acres is under encroachment without court stay in Urban Estate, Faridabad, 39.00 acres at Sirsa, 61.36 acres at Panchkula and 25.53 acres in Panipat. Besides 6.00 to 7.00 acres and below at other Urban Estates and Mandi Townships, land is under encroachment without court stay. The Chairman desired that in respect of Urban Estate, Karnal, Panipat, Faridabad and Gurgaon concerned Estate Officers should prepare a proposal under Ashiana Scheme and send the same to Head office (CTP,HUDA). In respect of Urban Estate, Panipat, it was found that there was no clarity in respect of the figures. The figures were mentioned in sq.mtrs. whereas the same should have been given in acres. In respect of Urban Estate, Panchkula, it was observed that land measuring 56.00 acres falling under Rajiv and Indira Colony



was shown under court stay whereas there is no court stay existing on this land. The Chairman ordered that in future this figure should be added under the column without court stay alongwith the complete details as intimated by the Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula.

- (ii) In respect of the lands under encroachment under court stay, it was observed that more than 600 acres of HUDA's acquired land is under encroachment where dispossession stays have been ordered by the courts. The Chairman desired that in respect of this land layout plans should be prepared, showing land utilization of the lands under court stay and applications be filed alongwith the layout plans showing land utilization for early hearings and vacation of the dispossession stays.

(Action : All EOs/Zonal Adms.)

#### 10. **(B) Commercial Activities in residential houses in the Urban Estates**

- (i) The figures were reviewed Urban Estate wise, it was found that in remarks column there was no clarity about the figures. The Chairman desired that in future whatsoever information is to be added in the remarks column, the same should be clear. It was intimated by CVO-cum-EO that all the Estate Officers have filed affidavits in Hon'ble High Court in respect of the status of commercial activities in their respective Urban Estates. It was desired by the Chairman that now there is no need of filing another affidavit in Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing i.e. 06.09.2007 but latest status report be filed in the Hon'ble High Court which shall be supplied by all the EOs by 30.08.2007 positively.
- (ii) It was also observed that the Zonal Administrators are not sending the reports by 7<sup>th</sup> of the month through email. It was also observed that there was no clarity of figures in respect of column No.5 of format M-2. For example, Estate Officer, Rewari has given the figure regarding No. of sectors in which commercial activities reported as 26 which appears to be wrong. Therefore, all the Estate Officers while sending the reports should cross check at their level and then send the same to Head office.

(Action : All EOs/Zonal Adms.)

## 11. Review of grant of transfer permissions:-

- (i) It has been observed that complete information in respect of all the Urban Estates and Mandi Townships was not supplied by the Zonal Administrators, as in case of Faridabad information in respect of Urban Estate, Palwal, in case of Ambala information in respect of Urban Estate, Naraingarh, in case of Kurukshetra information in respect of Urban Estate, Shahabad and in case of Sonapat information in respect of Urban Estate, Gohana was not supplied. It was also observed that figures mentioned in column No.7 of format M-3 does not appear to be realistic and information in respect of column No.8 of format, Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad did not mention the dates of three oldest pending applications alongwith the reasons. Estate Officer, Rewari did not mention in column No.8, the dates of three oldest pending applications and reasons thereof. E.O., Hisar in respect of M.T.Ratia, Tohana, Adampur and Fatehabad did not mention dates of three oldest pending applications alongwith reasons. Similarly, Estate Officer, Panchkula did not mention the reasons of pendency in column No.8. Estate Officer, Jagadhri mentioned only one date that too without reason. Estate Officer, Kurukshetra did not mention the date alongwith reasons, Estate Officer, Karnal did not mention the reasons alongwith dates. E.O. Rohtak did not mention dates, E.O., Sonapat also did not mention the dates of three oldest pending applications. It was also observed that the figures of column No.7 were not tallying with other columns.
- (ii) In case of format M-3A, Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad did not mention dates of three oldest pending applications, Estate Officer, Bhiwani neither mentioned dates of three oldest pending applications nor reasons therefor. Estate Officer, Panchkula also did not mention the reasons of three oldest pending applications. Estate Officer, Bahadurgarh also did not mention the dates of three oldest pending applications alongwith reasons. Similarly, Estate Officer, HUDA, Panipat also did not mention the dates of three oldest pending applications alongwith reasons.
- (iii) In respect of format M-4, regarding re-allotment letters, Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad did not mention dates of three oldest pending applications. Estate Officer, HUDA, Rewari did neither mention dates nor reasons, in respect of Rewari and Narnaul. Estate Officer, HUDA, Hisar did not mention dates of three oldest pending

applications and reasons in respect of M.T.Fatehabad, Hansi and M.T.Bhattu. Estate Officer, Bhiwani did neither mention dates nor reasons. Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula did not mention the reasons, Estate Officer, Jagadhri did not give three dates of oldest pending applications alongwith reasons. Estate Officer, Bahadurgarh and Panipat did not mention dates of three oldest pending applications. Similarly, Estate Officer, Sonapat also did neither mention dates of three oldest pending applications nor reasons. It was also observed that transfer permission is valid only for 90 days whereas perusal of dates given by the Estate Officers in column No.8 reveal that in certain cases applications are pending for more than 90 days and the Estate Officers did neither reject the applications nor asked for deposit of transfer fee again.

- (iv) In respect of format No.5, regarding no dues certificate, it was observed that reasons given in column No.7 were not clear. Therefore, the Chairman desired that all the Estate Officers should send the information after personally verifying the details of all the columns.
- (v) In respect of format M-6, regarding conveyance deed, it was observed that the Estate Officers neither mentioned dates of three oldest pending applications nor mention the reasons in column No.8.
- (vi) Same observations were conveyed in respect of format M-7.

(Action : CCF, All EOs/Zonal Adms.)

## **12. Review of sanction of building plans:-**

There is a large pendency of building plans in respect of Estate Officer, Faridabad, Gurgaon, Hisar, Sirsa, Panchkula, Ambala, Jagadhri, Kurukshetra, Karnal and Rohtak. It was also observed by the Chairman that in format M-10 in column No.8, all the Estate Officers did not give the correct information as per the heading of the column. Therefore, the Estate Officers were advised that they should submit the information only according to the heading of the column and also stick to the time schedule of 25 working days fixed and circulated to all the Estate Officers for sanction of building plans. In case any allottee does not clear the dues within the stipulated period his application for sanction of building plans be rejected thereafter.

(Action : All EOs/Zonal Adms.)

### 13. Grant of Occupation Certificate:-

- (i) There is large pendency of OCs in respect of Urban Estate, Faridabad, Gurgaon, Rewari, Sirsa, Jind, Bhiwani, Panchkula, Jagadhri, Kurukshetra, Karnal and Rohtak. A very serious view was taken in respect of Urban Estate, Rohtak where 1113 No. cases for issue of OCs were pending. The Chairman desired that action against the allottees who made violations in construction be taken as per HUDA Act and Regulations. Either their requests for issue of OCs be rejected or their water, sewer and electricity connections be got disconnected or action for demolition be taken after following proper procedure. It was also observed that in format M-8, (column No.6) no figure of objections communicated, was mentioned by E.O., Rohtak. In column No.8 dates of three oldest applications were not mentioned.
- (ii) It was decided that all the occupation certificates be issued by the concerned Estate Officers. SDO, (Survey) should route cases through the concerned Estate Officer. Instructions regarding this, be issued from H.Q.  
(Action : All EOs/Zonal Adms./Ado)
- (iii) It was decided that Water / Sewer connections of the houses whose building plans / occupation certificate have not been sanctioned / issued be disconnected and HVPN authorities may also be asked to get the electric connection disconnected for these Plots / Houses.

(Action by E.O's / EE's)

### 14. Review of plots in respect of which possession has not been handed over:-

It was observed from the reports received from Administrators that in number of cases, offer of possession of plots has not been done due to development works not completed. It was directed by CA that all Administrators will ensure that EOs supply the information to S.Es. List of Plot Nos. and development works be completed immediately. If there is any problem due to land under litigation/ encroachment/ not acquired, the report in the matter be submitted to the Administrator by 31.7.2007.

(Action by all S.Es / E.Os)

## 15. Review of appeal cases Under Section 17(5) of HUDA Act:-

- (i) All the Administrators were directed to decide the appeal cases at their own level and not to take advice from HQ in the appeal cases as they are quasi judicial authorities and decision is to be taken at their level.
- (ii) They were directed that all the pending appeals pending for more than six months be decided immediately.
- (iii) They were also directed that the orders be pronounced and despatched in the appeal cases on the same day of hearing with a copy to CA.

(All Administrators/LR)

## 16. Computerization: -

- (i) While elaborating the details of the project in Panchkula, Chief Administrator informed that the same will be replicated in other urban estates as well for which RFP has been floated. As per the RFP, space water and electricity are to be provided by HUDA. Besides the above some advance preparations are also required to be completed at the level of each Estate Office before the start of the project. These are:
  - ❖ Space, Water and Electricity is to be provided by HUDA.
  - ❖ **Identification of space:** Detail of space required in each Estate Office is annexed at Annexure-‘B’.
  - ❖ **Preparation of plot files:** Each page of the plot file should be numbered.
  - ❖ **Unique Property Number:** Each property should have a unique number. No property should be identified by name even the parks should have unique number.
  - ❖ Latest demarcation plans duly certified by DTP be got prepared.
  - ❖ Sector priority schedule for digitization of allotted plots be prepared. Sectors where transactions are more shall be taken first.
  - ❖ It must be ensured that number of allotted plot files should match the number of allotted files communicated by Estate Officer to IT Wing.

- ❖ Officials with aptitude in computers and thorough knowledge about the working of Estate Office be identified.

- (ii) All the Eos were advised to get the necessary Advance Preparation done and the Adms. were advised to review that in the first week of August and send a report to Head Office about the progress made.

## 17. Any other item:-

### (i) **Enforcement of the provisions of the Haryana Apartment Ownership Act, 1983.**

The progress regarding enforcing provisions of the Haryana Apartment Ownership Act, 1983 was reviewed and it was noted with concern that except giving some notices, no further action has been taken to enforce the Act. Administrators were directed to call a meeting at their own level to settle queries of E.O.'s and monitor their progress.

### ii) **Self Certification of Building Plans: -**

The procedure of Self Certification was explained in detail. The Chief Administrator instructed all the Administrators to get zoning sheets of all unbuilt plots prepared. New sectors may be taken up on priority.

### iii) **Entry Points to various sectors: -**

It was desired that some designs of entry points showing planters, railings and pavings be circulated to Adms, S.E.s and XENs for adoption in their respective areas for beautification of entry points.

- iv) CA took exception to the fact that some of the Adms. are going on tour without getting it approved and some of the Administrators have not submitted their actual tour programmes after performing journeys to the CA. It was directed that all the Adms. should get the Tour Programmes approved in advance in writing and in case of emergency, oral permission may be sought. If it is not done, the tours performed shall be treated as private journeys with related consequences.

- v) The Estate Officers should prepare master booklet for their Urban Estates containing master information and send the same to CA by name by 31.8.2007.
- vi) The awards of structures should be announced alongwith award of land. While handing over possession of land to HUDA, a Joint photograph of LAO and EO concerned be taken at the site of award and should be entered in the Roz Namcha. On the next day, a public notice to this effect that HUDA has taken over this acquired land, shall be released in the leading dailies for information of General Public.
- vii) All the Administrators should review the progress of their complete Zone by 10<sup>th</sup> and review meeting at HQ will be convened on 15<sup>th</sup> of every month. In case 15<sup>th</sup> is a holiday, meeting will be held on the next working day.
- (viii) Estate Officer, Panchkula pointed out that he has made a reference regarding price of flat to be charged while executing conveyance deed in favour of individual flat owner. This may be examined by the Urban Branch.

(Action By: Administrators Fbd/Ggn./Pkl., Estate Officer Fbd/Ggn/Pkl., Sr. Architect and Ado)

The following agenda items were discussed with the permission of CTCP, who presided over the meeting for short period:-

**(1) Flotation of Defence Sectors**

- (i) Defence Sectors will be floated by HUDA at Rewari, Jind, Rohtak, Jhajjar, Bahadurgarh, Hisar, Narnaul, Karnal, Panipat and Dadri.
- (ii) Regarding ECHS Polyclinics , CTCP directed that: -
  - a) The sites for ECHS polyclinics at Panipat, Jhajjar and Bhiwani be identified and allotted immediately.
  - b) The matter relating to rate of allotment of these sites be examined. It may be examined whether instead of reserve price being fixed equal to current institutional rates, the allotment can be made on the same price as has been made applicable to social and charitable organizations.

- c) It may also be examined whether HUDA can offer a firm rate for the next 24 months, so that Army Authorities do not have to go in for a revised sanction.

The above matters should be examined and got decided within a period of one month positively.

(Action by: all Admns/CCF/CTP)

**(2) Development Works pertaining to Dyeing Zone, Panipat.**

- (i) The work of C.E.T.P may be completed by September 2007.
- (ii) It was explained by S.E Rohtak that Raw water of 5 cusecs is to be released by Irrigation authorities which has been agreed upon in the meeting but formal approval is awaited. However, HUDA has installed 4 Nos. T/wells and water for construction purposes so that the allottees can be given water from these T/wells. Carrier channel has been constructed. However there is minor gap due to litigation case of land pending in Supreme Court. It was desired by C.T.C.P that some alternative route may be worked out to make the scheme functional.
- (iii) Regarding electricity, it was stated by S.E. that land for construction of 132 KVA Sub Station has been allotted to HVPN. However, HUDA has erected 4 Nos. 200 KVA Transformers and connections for construction purposes can be given by HVPN from the existing feeder till such time 132 KVA Sub Station is completed. HVPN may be impressed upon to start the work of Sub-station at the earliest.

(Action by S.E, Rohtak)

**(3) ASHIANA SCHEME**

- (i) It was stated by E.I.C that Scheme for Panchkula has been approved and sites for Rohtak, Gurgaon, Rewari, Faridabad, Sirsa, Kaithal and Ambala have been earmarked. The estimate for 2072 units flats for Panchkula for Rs.45.40 Crores stands approved and estimate for Faridabad for 2040 units flats amounting to



Rs.64.50 Crores has been prepared and is under process. Tendering process for Panchkula has been initiated and for other stations, it will be taken in hand after approval of estimates.

- (ii) The beneficiaries for this Scheme for Panchkula has been decided and for other stations these are yet to be finalized by Administrators. It was desired by C.T.C.P that beneficiaries for the stations where the land has already been earmarked be finalized by Administrators concerned.

(Action by: all Administrators)

**(4) Sewerage scheme of Gurgaon**

EIC explained that due to various litigation cases due to which the main sewer lines could not be laid. It was desired by CTCP that these issues need to be taken up with Advocate General, Haryana for bringing these to the notice of Hon'ble Chief Justice of Punjab and Haryana High Court so that the sewerage system of Gurgaon can be made fully functional.

(Action by S.E.-I & II, Gurgaon)

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair and assurance by all the Administrators as well as by Heads of Branches of HQs that they will ensure expeditious follow up action and bring about drastic improvement in the present working.

**NAME OF URBAN ESTATES, INDUSTRIAL ESTATES AND MANDI TOWNSHIPS BEING DEVELOPED BY HUDA.**

Sr.No.	Name of Urban Estates/MTs	Sr.No.	Name of Urban Estates/MTs
	<b>FARIDABAD ZONE</b>		<b>PANCHKULA ZONE</b>
1.	Faridabad	1.	Ambala
2.	Hathin (Faridabad)	2.	Naraingarh (Ambala)
3.	Palwal (Faridabad)	3.	M.T.Ambala City (Ambala)
4.	M.T.Ballabgarh (Faridabad)	4.	Jagadhri
5.	M.T.Hathin (Faridabad)	5.	Kaithal
	<b>GURGAON ZONE</b>	6.	M.T.Kaithal (Kaithal)
1.	Gurgaon	7.	M.T.Gulla Cheeka (Kaithal)
2.	Rozka-Meo (Gurgaon.)	8.	M.T.Kalayath ( Kaithal)
3.	M.T.Gurgaon (Gurgaon)	9.	M.T.Pundri (Kaithal)
4.	Rewari	10.	Karnal
5.	Dharuhera (Rewari)	11.	Kurukshetra
6.	Narnaul (Rewari)	12.	Shahbad (Kurukshetra)
7.	M.T.Kosli (Rewari)	13.	M.T.Amin (Kurukshetra)
8.	M.T.Narnaul (Rewari)	14.	M.T.Pehowa (Kurukshetra)
9.	M.T.Rewari (Rewari)	15.	Panchkula
	<b>HISAR ZONE</b>		<b>ROHTAK ZONE</b>
1.	Bhiwani	1.	Bahadurgarh
2.	M.T.Bhiwani (Bhiwani)	2.	Panipat
3.	M.T.Bawani Khera (Bhiwani)	3.	Rohtak
4.	M.T.Dharsul Kalan (Bhiwani)	4.	M.T.Jhajjar (Rohtak)
5.	M.T.Tosham (Bhiwani)	5.	Sonepat
6.	Hisar	6.	Gohana (Sonepat)
7.	Fatehabad (Hisar)	7.	Murthal (Sonepat)
8.	Hansi (Hisar)		
9.	M.T.Adampur (Hisar)		
10.	M.T.Bhattu (Hisar)		
11.	M.T. Fatehabad (Hisar)		
12.	M.T. Hansi (Hisar)		
13.	M.T.Hisar (Hisar)		
14.	M.T.Pabra (Hisar)		
15.	M.T.Ratia (Hisar)		
16.	M.T.Tohana (Hisar)		
17.	Jind		
18.	M.T.Narwana (Jind)		
19.	Sirsa		
20.	M.T.Dabwali (Sirsa)		
21.	M.T.Ellenabad (Sirsa)		
22.	M.T.Kalanwali (Sirsa)		
23.	M.T.Sirsa (Sirsa)		

Urban Estate Wise Space Requirement for the Entire				
S.No.	Estate Office	Allotted Files	Space Requirement (Sq.feet)	Scheduled Time (Months)
1	Bhiwani	4207	500	4
2	Jagadhari	4757	500	4
3	Jind	4949	500	4
4	Kaithal	4966	500	4
5	Sirsa	5756	600	4
6	Rohtak	7565	800	4
7	Rewari	8450	1000	4
8	Sonepat	10825	1200	4
9	Bahadurgarh	10953	1200	4
10	Panipat	12536	1500	4
11	Karnal	13405	1500	4
12	Kurukshetra	14285	1500	4
13	Hisar	20453	2000	4
14	Gurgaon	44000	2500	6
15	Faridabad	54170	2500	6
Grand Total		221277		

All the zonal Administrators placed before the Chairperson, the latest status report of the disposal of pending oustee's claims relating to the Urban Estates falling within their jurisdiction. Considerable nos of claims are still pending.

Rohtak zone	293
Gurgaon	08

The Estate Officer, HUDA Hisar, representing the Administrator, HUDA Hisar and the Estate Officer, HUDA Panchkula representing Administrator, HUDA Panchkula were not even equipped with the actual figures of pending oustee's claims. Estate Officer, HUDA Panchkula made a request for grant of another two months to finalise the pending claims.